

**JOURNAL OF THE FACULTY SENATE**  
The University of Oklahoma (Norman campus)  
Regular session - March 5, 1990 - 3:30 p.m.  
Conoco Auditorium, Neustadt Wing, Bizzell Memorial Library

The Faculty Senate was called to order by Professor Andy R. Magid, Chair.

**PRESENT:** Ahern, Baker, Blick, Farmer, Fife, Flowers, Foote, Gabert, Gilje, Goodey, Gudmundson, Harm, Herstand, Hinson, Hopkins, Jaffe, James, Kenderdine, Knapp, Kutner, Levy, Magid, McManus, Minnis, Moore, Mouser, Paolino, Petry, Rideout, Ryan, Salisbury, Smith, Stoltenberg, Striz, Swoyer, Vestal, Weaver-Meyers, Zaman, Zelby, Zonana

Provost's office representative: Wadlow  
PSA representatives: Barth, Bloomgarden, Boehme  
UOSA representatives: Allred, Tillman

**ABSENT:** Bergey, Christian, Harper, Hill, Kiacz, Nelson, Nicewander, Sankowski, Schnell, Ward, Wedel, White

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Announcements:  
Spring General Faculty meeting.....2  
Faculty awards luncheon.....2  
Disposition by the Administration of Senate actions:  
Program reapproval procedures.....2  
Off-site teaching assignments.....2  
Senate Chair's Report:  
State Regents' Hearing.....2  
Sexual Harassment Policy.....3  
Committee restructuring.....3  
Mid-year raises for administrators.....3  
Focus on Excellence: Courtney Vaughn-Roberson.....4  
✓Media presentation about OU faculty.....5  
✓Report on faculty summer salaries.....5  
✓Assessment of mid-term grades.....5  
Ad hoc committee on eligibility and academic standing.....5

---

**APPROVAL OF JOURNAL**

The Senate Journal for the regular session of February 12, 1990, was approved.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Spring General Faculty meeting will be held Thursday, April 26, 1990, at 3:30 p.m. in room 108 of the Physical Sciences Center. There will be remarks by Professors Magid and Rideout and President Van Horn.

The faculty awards luncheon is scheduled for Thursday, April 12, 1990, at 11:30 a.m. in the Union Ballroom. Invitations will be sent to the faculty in the near future.

**DISPOSITION BY THE ADMINISTRATION OF SENATE ACTIONS**

President Van Horn sent a memo dated February 14, 1990, saying he believes that the adoption of the proposed program reapproval procedures (see 12/89 Senate Journal, page 4) is contrary to the best interest of the University. He and Provost Wadlow are pleased to work with faculty to assure appropriate decisions are made regarding the delivery of Norman campus programs at UCT.

President Van Horn said, in a memo dated February 20, 1990, that he declined to approve the resolution on off-site teaching assignments (see 1/90 Senate Journal, page 5) because he believes current University policy appears to provide sufficient procedures for faculty to appeal an off-campus teaching assignment.

**SENATE CHAIR'S REPORT, BY PROF. MAGID**

**"State Regents' Hearing.** On February 26, I spoke at the State Regents for Higher Education's hearing on tuition. I was identified as Faculty Senate Chair; however, I explained to the Regents that I was only there to represent myself, although I had, following University policy, cleared my appearance with OU's President's Office. I wanted to make three points to the State Regents. The first was that the education offered by Oklahoma's research universities is of high quality and a good value, a point I tried to illustrate by examples of OU and OSU faculty who had taught at Ivy League and Big 10 universities or who are currently on leave doing so. Many of our faculty are part of the national scene in this explicit sense (and virtually all are on the national scene in the sense of publishing in national journals or delivering papers at national conferences), and I think that Oklahomans in general, and State Regents in particular, deserve to know this. It is this sort of faculty national involvement which makes research university education distinctive. I was a little disappointed to hear Vice Chancellor Gary Smith tell the Regents that financial pressures had kept the state system from doing much for quality in higher education, and I hope that my remarks helped them see that at least OU and OSU had done something for quality.

My second point was that the leadership the State Regents are providing on admission standards and financing of higher education is appreciated by faculty. I mentioned tactical adjustments, in particular Dr. Van Horn's plan to stabilize tuition increases by having double increases every other year, but I encouraged the Regents to stick to their long-range strategy on academic standards and on revenue.

My third point was that students are raising some legitimate questions about how tuition increases have been allocated. I took the opportunity to go over with the Regents how the fraction of OU's budget devoted to instructional salaries had declined through the 1980's. I explained that if

the same fraction of the current budget was devoted to instructional salaries as had been the case in the mid 1980's, then we would have substantial salary increases and substantial numbers of new positions and increased graduate assistant stipends.

I hope my remarks helped separate the issues of value and affordability in education costs. Nobody wants a higher education student fee structure that prices students capable of research university education out of the market. But I hate to see anyone suggesting that OU education is not worth its cost. We offer excellent quality at the lowest price of any of our Big 8 or Big 10 peers. We can take pride in what we are doing and encourage our students to take pride in what they are getting, while at the same time making sure that those who can benefit from OU's educational value can afford its cost.

**Meeting with Provost.** Also on February 26, the Executive Committee met with Provost Wadlow. Part of our conversation reviewed the draft Sexual Harassment Policy. After receiving comments from various sources, including the Senate discussion of the policy at our February meeting, the administration now proposes to pull the Consensual Relations Policy out of the Sexual Harassment Policy and consider them separately. The Executive Committee discussed the disposition of the Sexual Harassment Policy with the President at our meeting on March 1. We reaffirmed that the next steps in the ratification of the Sexual Harassment Policy will be as previously envisioned: the final draft policy will come before this body for a vote before it is recommended to the Regents as University policy. This will, by the way, be an "Old Business" item, so we can vote on the policy at the meeting following the receipt of the draft. I encourage faculty with comments and suggestions on the draft policy to continue to relay them to the Executive Committee for forwarding to the administration.

**Meeting with President.** As I noted, the Executive Committee met with President Van Horn on March 1. The first item on our agenda was the clarification of the proposed revisions to the Councils or Committees nomination process. Contrary to what I had reported to you last month, the administration's view of the nomination process did not envision Faculty Senate election of all faculty representatives with the occasional exception of an administration-appointed faculty position. In fact, one draft policy they had showed parity, giving the example of three Faculty Senate-appointed faculty and three administration-appointed faculty on the generic committee. The Executive Committee found this inappropriate for the obvious reasons--we immediately identified the administration-appointed spots as the Larry, Curly and Moe positions--and so did President Van Horn. We agreed that at least two-thirds of the faculty positions on Councils or Committees need to be Faculty Senate appointees, that for those Councils or Committees whose charge requires a faculty chair, then she must be chosen from the Faculty Senate appointees, and that the only administrators appointing faculty will be the President, a Vice President, or the Provost. I am sure that this formulation is workable. I trust that most faculty asked to serve on Councils or Committees, whether by the Senate's Committee on Committees or by an administrator, will bring faculty perspective to the task. I also expect that in many cases administrators will turn to the Committee on Committees anyway for help in identifying faculty to appoint.

Also, as we were asked to do at the February Faculty Senate meeting, the Executive Committee discussed with President Van Horn his policy on mid-year raises for administrators. He believes that, except for routine raises that automatically come at the end of probation periods, administrators can wait until the end of the fiscal year for salary increments, just like the

rest of us. He did, however, cite a case that he conjectured had provoked our question, namely of a raise he gave an executive officer so that that officer's salary would stay ahead of a newly hired less senior executive officer. Leaving aside the question about when we have been able to do similar things about salary compression problems for faculty, one could still ask why the issue needed to be addressed mid-year. Now actually the Executive Committee believed it was a different case that Professor Nicewander was thinking of when he asked us to discuss the policy with the President. We brought up this case, which involved an administrative officer, with President Van Horn. He told us he questioned at the time why it needed to be done mid-year, and he may still have reservations about it.

Anyway, the Executive Committee apprised the President of the history and symbolic implications of mid-year raises for administrators, and I believe that he is now sensitive to faculty position. I would also like the Senate to know that when raiding by other institutions has threatened us with mid-year loss of highly valuable faculty, the administration has responded with retention packages which have included mid-year raises for faculty. The cases here cited of administrators did not involve this sort of emergency retention, but I would like to at least comment that it seems to me that retention of valuable administrators could be as legitimate as the retention of valuable faculty, should the need arise in the future."

#### **FOCUS ON EXCELLENCE**

This month the Focus on Excellence recognizes the contributions of Associate Professor of Educational Foundations, Courtney Ann Vaughn-Roberson. A native of Oklahoma, Professor Vaughn-Roberson completed her Ed.D. at OSU, where she studied the political ramifications of progressive educational policies under Oklahoma college president Henry Bennett. Professor Vaughn-Roberson came to OU in 1982 and since then has coauthored two books in minority and women's studies and several articles in refereed research journals. Along with Susan Peterson of the University of South Dakota, Professor Vaughn-Roberson published Women with Vision, a study of the role of women's religious orders in education and health care in the plains states in the nineteenth century. Also, City in the Osage Hills: History of Tulsa, Oklahoma was coauthored with her husband, Glen. Book chapters on "Governor Roy Turner" in Oklahoma's Governors, 1929-1955 and "Having a Purpose in Life, Western Women" in the Teachers' Voice: A Qualitative Analysis of Teaching in Twentieth Century America (a history of women teachers in Colorado, Oklahoma, and Texas) have been written and accepted for publication. She has published in several national journals, such as the Pacific Historical Review, the Journal of Negro Education, and the Journal of Research in Childhood Education. She is presently at work on two new books, one coauthored with OU colleagues, Michael Langenbach and Lola Aagaard, on An Important Introduction to Educational Research, and the other, again coauthored with Susan Peterson, on The Intersection of Environment and Nursing: A History of Frontier and Professional Nurses on the Northern Plains, 1889-1945. One can see that Professor Vaughn-Roberson's work has centered on social history of the plains states and the interweaving of educational and health care issues in the southwest. Such diverse topics share common foundations in economic and social problems and social theories, and as such, one can say her work exemplifies the interdisciplinary component so familiar to the goals of this university. Let us congratulate Professor Vaughn-Roberson for her continuing efforts to examine the history and structure of education in Oklahoma and the southwest.

**PRESENTATION BY MR. BRIAN HAMRICK: MEDIA SERVICES TELEVISION NEWS FEATURES ABOUT FACULTY AT THE UNIVERSITY**

Mr. Hamrick showed some examples of video news releases about faculty that are produced by Electronic Media (part of University Affairs) and sent to television stations in Oklahoma and neighboring states. The news features, which last about a minute and a half, are intended to be played during newscasts and to show OU in the best possible light. Response to this new program has been positive, especially in the smaller markets around the state. Mr. Hamrick said he wanted to make the faculty aware of the services available and to solicit suggestions on other faculty who have interesting visual activities. Suggestions should be directed to Electronic Media, Union 354.

**INTERIM REPORT BY PROF. RYAN DOEZEMA, CHAIR OF THE SENATE'S COMMITTEE ON FACULTY COMPENSATION, ON FACULTY SUMMER SALARIES**

Prof. Doezema presented an interim report on summer session compensation (Appendix II) in response to requests raised in the Senate's planning sessions last Fall. The first part is a survey of the colleges on how salaries are determined and the extent of summer teaching in each college. The second part is data on budget allocations by college and by department, which was produced by the Office of Institutional Research. Prof. Doezema said the report was considered base information at this point, and that he would be glad to focus on specific details if requested.

**ASSESSMENT OF MID-TERM GRADES**

Last May the Senate approved an administrative proposal for mid-semester grade reports, provided that the system be re-examined in a year. The Senate Executive Committee recommendation was to extend the experiment with mid-term grades through the Fall 1990 semester and to conduct a Faculty Senate survey of general faculty reaction to the effectiveness of mid-term grades and assessment of the faculty time and effort expended preparing mid-term grades. Prof. Rideout explained that the intent was to keep the system in place until the Executive Committee had some information to present. The Senate approved the motion 31 to 2 with no abstentions.


**APPOINTMENT OF AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ELIGIBILITY AND ACADEMIC STANDING**

At last month's meeting the Senate heard a report by Prof. St. John (Sociology) on academic eligibility of student-athletes. Following some discussion, the Senate decided to form an ad hoc committee to investigate the issues of eligibility and academic standing. The following faculty agreed to serve on that committee: Professors Jay Smith (Educational Psychology) [chair], Robert Petry (Physics and Astronomy), Craig St. John (Sociology), and Stewart Ryan (Physics and Astronomy). Professors Smith and St. John serve on the academic advisory subcommittee of the Athletics Council and Professor Ryan serves on the Academic Regulations Committee, which is the committee that would consider questions of academic rules and regulations. Professor Petry volunteered to conduct a survey of and coordinate activities with the other Big 8 universities. Other interested faculty are welcome to join the committee.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m. The next regular session of the Senate will be held at 3:30 p.m. on Monday, April 9, 1990, in the Conoco Auditorium.

  
 Sonya Fallgatter  
 Administrative Coordinator

  
 David Levy  
 Secretary

Norman Campus Faculty Senate  
 Oklahoma Memorial Union, Room 406  
 325-6789  
 WA0236@uokmvsa.bitnet

3/90 (Appendix I)

**RECORD OF DISPOSITION BY ADMINISTRATION OF FACULTY SENATE ACTIONS**

(September, 1989 - )

Date of Senate mtg.	Item*	Origin	Disposition, Date
1 9-11-89	Faculty replacements, councils/committees	Senate	Appointed, 10/3/89
2 10-16-89	Method of selection to councils/committees	Senate	Overall council/committee structure being examined, 12/13/89
3 12-11-89	Faculty replacements, councils/committees	Senate	No action necessary
4 12-11-89	Program re-approval procedures	Senate	Disagreed with proposal but will work with faculty to assure appropriate decisions, 2/16/90
5 1-15-90	Off-site teaching assignments	Senate	Declined to approve resolution; sufficient appeals procedures, 2/22/90
6 1-15-90	Class time lost due to holidays	Senate	Pending
7 2-12-90	1990-91 Program Review Panel	Provost	No action necessary
8 2-12-90	Faculty replacements, councils/committees	Senate	Appointed, 3/2/90

\*Full text of recommendation can be found in Senate Journal for date indicated at left

INTERIM REPORT  
FACULTY SENATE COMMITTEE ON FACULTY COMPENSATION  
MARCH 1990

This report is intended to provide an information base concerning the Summer Session at the University of Oklahoma. Requests for such information were made in the small-group sessions of the Faculty Senate last Fall. Two sources of information were used. The Compensation Committee surveyed the degree colleges, excluding Law, for compensation rates, dedication of compensation to teaching, and percentage of faculty engaged in summer teaching. The results are found in Table I. Our second source was the Office of Institutional Research, which provided the budget information found in Tables II and III.

Table I  
Compensation Committee Survey

College	salary rate determination	Are faculty paid for administrative duties from summer budget?	percentage of faculty paid from summer budget (1989)
Architecture	<u>(money available)</u> (number of faculty teaching)	no	32%
Arts & Sciences	std.*	no	12%
Business Admin.	std.	no	10%
Education	std.	no	7% 9-month faculty 50% total faculty
Engineering	std.	no	25%
Fine Arts	\$2600 prof. \$2300 assoc. prof. \$2000 asst. prof.	} 3 credit hours	occasionally 39%
Geosciences	std.	rarely	19%

\* std. = standard rate: 1/9 annual salary for 3 credit hour load



Table II

SUMMER SESSION EXPENDITURES BY COLLEGE  
 FY 1982-83 TO 1987-88  
 University of Oklahoma  
 - Includes Both Departmental and CE & PS Summer Funds -

	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89	% Change 83-84 to 88-89
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ARCHITECTURE	\$47,286	\$58,182	\$54,203	\$56,804	\$62,280	\$66,886	41.4%
ARTS & SCIENCES	454,562	420,478	418,286	415,778	446,890	476,495	4.8%
BUSINESS ADMIN.	164,358	155,792	138,130	306,985	217,560	223,770	36.1%
EDUCATION	8,377	10,228	15,010	15,953	36,736	41,103	390.7%
ENGINEERING	184,142	189,357	225,171	203,062	189,701	241,994	31.4%
FINE ARTS	88,422	82,838	79,619	74,335	81,635	90,050	1.8%
GEOSCIENCES	90,509	79,873	95,056	79,387	69,060	60,881	-32.7%
<b>DEGREE COLLEGES</b>							
TOTAL -Excl. Law	1,037,656	996,748	1,025,475	1,152,304	1,103,862	1,201,179	15.8%
LAW	89,617	58,540	71,945	79,930	58,300	71,271	-20.5%
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	-	-	-	-	2,420	7,260	-
ENERGY CENTER	-	-	-	-	72,008	31,761	-
OTHER UNITS TOTAL	177,526	139,877	115,909	165,188	115,958	80,139	-54.9%
UNIVERSITY TOTAL	1,304,799	1,195,165	1,213,329	1,397,422	1,352,548	1,391,610	6.7%

- Notes: (1) Summer session expenditures for each fiscal year include the July expenditures for one summer session and the June expenditures for the following summer.  
 (2) Most faculty in the College of Education are appointed for 12 months. Summer session expenditures for the college do not include the summer salary expenditures for the 12-month faculty.

Source: "Total Salaries Expended by Budget Type and Budget Unit Within the Provost Area," various years, University of Oklahoma.

Table III

SUMMER SESSION EXPENDITURES BY DEPARTMENT WITHIN COLLEGE  
 FY 1983-84 TO 1988-89  
 University of Oklahoma  
 - Includes Both Departmental and CE & PS Summer Funds -

Page 1

	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>ARCHITECTURE</b>						
Architecture	\$43,669	\$54,445	\$48,453	\$51,609	\$60,452	\$62,579
Regional & City Planning	1,867	1,867	1,867	-	-	-
Other -						
Architecture Dean	1,750	1,870	3,883	5,195	1,828	4,307
<b>TOTAL - ARCHITECTURE</b>	<b>47,286</b>	<b>58,182</b>	<b>54,203</b>	<b>56,804</b>	<b>62,280</b>	<b>66,886</b>
<b>ARTS AND SCIENCES</b>						
Anthropology	10,781	11,065	8,090	8,893	8,538	7,667
Botany & Microbiology	12,687	9,656	6,430	6,867	10,545	8,011
Chemistry	49,382	48,306	46,857	59,507	72,696	78,041
Classics	2,772	2,400	2,400	2,350	2,470	2,752
Communication	14,700	17,806	14,950	14,952	16,421	19,776
English	31,782	25,975	24,314	24,695	25,327	28,371
Health, Phys. Ed. & Rec.	15,076	16,904	11,714	15,950	17,162	9,765
History	17,183	18,178	17,717	17,061	14,471	16,042
History of Science	3,883	3,939	4,506	4,317	5,111	2,367
Human Development	17,744	12,616	18,942	10,543	10,339	12,716
Human Relations	4,085	2,685	3,750	4,020	4,522	4,193
Journalism & Mass Comm.	20,471	16,519	18,532	14,844	15,570	26,027
Library & Info. Studies	17,911	14,850	17,513	17,178	17,626	19,011
Mathematics	72,610	70,402	72,200	64,302	65,723	73,979
Modern Lang., Lit. & Ling.	8,599	9,036	8,874	7,949	8,998	11,054
Philosophy	11,845	13,907	15,662	12,525	11,255	9,859
Physics & Astronomy	37,551	22,936	22,140	29,061	22,667	34,274
Political Science	18,589	17,883	17,050	16,908	17,153	18,593
Psychology	24,549	26,464	20,344	20,653	36,413	35,314
Social Work	8,567	4,450	4,000	6,200	6,987	4,540
Sociology	17,038	25,713	17,826	14,464	13,540	13,338
Zoology	13,291	9,300	11,375	15,295	15,137	11,903
Arts & Sciences Instruc.	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other -						
Arts & Sciences Advising	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arts & Sciences Dean	-	-	-	6,033	6,922	1,333
Biological Station	20,199	16,160	29,600	17,600	15,800	23,660
African & Afro-Am Std.	3,267	3,328	3,500	-	5,497	3,825
Native American Studies	-	-	-	3,611	-	-
Women's Studies	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL - ARTS &amp; SCIENCES</b>	<b>454,562</b>	<b>420,478</b>	<b>418,286</b>	<b>415,778</b>	<b>446,890</b>	<b>476,495</b>

- Notes: (1) Summer session expenditures for each fiscal year include the July expenditures for one summer session and the June expenditures for the following summer.
- (2) Most faculty in the College of Education are appointed for 12 months. Summer session expenditures for the college do not include the summer salary expenditures for the 12-month faculty.

SUMMER SESSION EXPENDITURES BY DEPARTMENT WITHIN COLLEGE  
 FY 1983-84 TO 1988-89  
 University of Oklahoma

- Includes Both Departmental and CE & PS Summer Funds -

	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION</b>						
Business Adm. Instruc.	\$146,492	\$142,125	\$120,712	\$303,334	\$208,649	\$223,770
Other -						
Ctr for Econ & Mgt Res	17,866	13,667	17,418	3,651	8,911	-
<b>TOTAL - BUSINESS ADMIN</b>	<b>164,358</b>	<b>155,792</b>	<b>138,130</b>	<b>306,985</b>	<b>217,560</b>	<b>223,770</b>
<b>EDUCATION</b>	<b>8,377</b>	<b>10,228</b>	<b>15,010</b>	<b>15,953</b>	<b>36,736</b>	<b>41,103</b>
<b>ENGINEERING</b>						
Aero., Mech. & Nucl. Engr.	35,367	34,269	30,632	36,975	34,987	43,236
Chem. Engr. & Mat. Sci.	24,883	22,495	38,263	14,916	6,710	13,823
Civil Engr. & Env. Sci.	28,052	28,454	25,699	28,744	28,041	21,690
Elec. Engr. & Comp. Sci.	51,205	50,861	66,340	66,993	62,229	74,013
Industrial Engr.	11,918	10,475	12,510	12,759	11,971	23,938
Petr. & Geol. Engr.	23,867	36,195	33,321	27,461	21,932	49,462
Engr. Instruction	900	-	1,034	733	-	-
Other -						
Engineering Computer	-	-	-	600	850	-
Engineering Dean	7,950	6,608	7,434	7,677	11,707	6,571
Groundwater Inst.	-	-	9,938	6,204	11,274	9,261
<b>TOTAL - ENGINEERING</b>	<b>184,142</b>	<b>189,357</b>	<b>225,171</b>	<b>203,062</b>	<b>189,701</b>	<b>241,994</b>
<b>FINE ARTS</b>						
Art	12,492	17,250	14,650	14,100	14,700	15,700
Drama	32,709	26,004	23,388	23,985	22,850	25,942
Music	43,221	39,584	41,581	36,250	44,085	46,285
Other -						
Art Museum	-	-	-	-	-	2,123
<b>TOTAL - FINE ARTS</b>	<b>88,422</b>	<b>82,838</b>	<b>79,619</b>	<b>74,335</b>	<b>81,635</b>	<b>90,050</b>
<b>GEOSCIENCES</b>						
Geography	12,402	14,635	20,747	23,716	20,184	19,713
Geology & Geophysics	53,222	34,025	44,130	23,284	27,426	24,109
Meteorology	16,202	10,685	25,406	15,164	5,797	-
Other -						
CIMMS	-	4,000	-	-	-	5,084
Geosciences Dean	2,109	-	-	-	3,673	10,965
Inst. for Dryland Dev.	6,574	16,528	4,773	5,671	5,049	-
OK Climatological Survey	-	-	-	11,552	6,931	1,010
<b>TOTAL - GEOSCIENCES</b>	<b>90,509</b>	<b>79,873</b>	<b>95,056</b>	<b>79,387</b>	<b>69,060</b>	<b>60,881</b>
<b>DEGREE COLLEGES TOTAL -</b>	<b>1,037,656</b>	<b>996,748</b>	<b>1,025,475</b>	<b>1,152,304</b>	<b>1,103,862</b>	<b>1,201,179</b>
Excluding Law						

- Notes: (1) Summer session expenditures for each fiscal year include the July expenditures for one summer session and the June expenditures for the following summer.
- (2) Most faculty in the College of Education are appointed for 12 months. Summer session expenditures for the college do not include the summer salary expenditures for the 12-month faculty.

SUMMER SESSION EXPENDITURES BY DEPARTMENT WITHIN COLLEGE  
 FY 1983-84 TO 1988-89  
 University of Oklahoma  
 - Includes Both Departmental and CE & PS Summer Funds -

	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAW	\$89,617	\$58,540	\$71,945	\$79,930	\$58,300	\$71,271
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	-	-	-	-	2,420	7,260
ENERGY CENTER	-	-	-	-	72,008	31,761
OTHER UNITS						
Provost Direct	94,615	69,756	72,821	67,979	30,159	30,428
Graduate College	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liberal Studies	20,951	24,407	2,879	22,746	20,082	3,488
VP for Instr Svcs	-	700	-	-	500	3,912
Univ Libraries	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acad Rec & Univ Reg	-	-	-	-	-	-
VP for Res Adm	61,960	45,014	40,209	74,463	65,217	39,326
VP for CE & PS	-	-	-	-	-	2,985
OTHER UNITS TOTAL	177,526	139,877	115,909	165,188	115,958	80,139
UNIVERSITY TOTAL	1,304,799	1,195,165	1,213,329	1,397,422	1,352,548	1,391,610

- Notes: (1) Summer session expenditures for each fiscal year include the July expenditures for one summer session and the June expenditures for the following summer.  
 (2) Most faculty in the College of Education are appointed for 12 months. Summer session expenditures for the college do not include the summer salary expenditures for the 12-month faculty.

Source: "Total Salaries Expended by Budget Type and Budget Unit Within the Provost Area," various years, University of Oklahoma.